UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/556,126	11/09/2005 Hyun-Kwon Chung		0001.1113	4344	
	7590 03/10/200 'EN & BUI, LLP	EXAMINER			
1400 EYE STR		BOUTAH, ALINA A			
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2443		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/10/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		A	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		1	0/556,126		CHUNG ET AL.				
		E	xaminer		Art Unit				
		Al	LINA N. BOUT	AH.	2443				
The MAII Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commun	ication appear	rs on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time rafter SIX (6) MONTI - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply with Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD F S LONGER, FROM THE N hay be available under the provisions HS from the mailing date of this com y is specified above, the maximum s in the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. catutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	E OF THIS CO). In no event, how pply and will expire use the application	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time. SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) Responsi	ve to communication(s) file	ad on 00 Nova	mher 2005						
· <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 November 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
′ _	application is in condition	/ 			secution as to the	e merits is			
•	accordance with the pract		•	•		o monto lo			
Disposition of Clai	·	•		·					
<u> </u>		annlication							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
		ire withdrawin	nom conside	iation.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	is/are rejected. is/are objected to.								
	<u></u> is/are objected to: <u>17</u> are subject to restrict	on and/or elec	ction requiren	nent					
	-11 are subject to restrict	on and/or elec	ction requiren	ient.					
Application Papers	3								
9) <mark></mark> The specif	ication is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)∏ The drawir	ng(s) filed on is/are	: a)∏ accepte	ed or b)⊡ ob	jected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant n	nay not request that any obje	ction to the drav	wing(s) be held	l in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)∏ The oath o	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U	l.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (I sure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Date	PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	nte				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a method and apparatus for multimedia data reproducing, classified in class 379, subclass 68.
- II. Claims 6-8 and 16, drawn to a method and a computer readable medium for receiving audio data, classified in class 709, subclass 236.
- III. Claims 9, 10 and 17, drawn to a method and a computer readable medium for calculating a location of audio data, classified in class 386, subclass 96.
- IV. Claim 11, drawn to a computer readable medium having recorded thereon an audio meta data structure, classified in class 707, subclass E17.103.
- V. Claims 12-15, drawn to a computer readable medium having recorded an audio data structure, classified in class 707, subclass E17.104.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as

Art Unit: 2443

claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombinations as claimed because they are classified in different class/subclass. The subcombination has separate utility and are classified in different class/subclass. See MPEP 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

Art Unit: 2443

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Art Unit: 2443

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALINA N. BOUTAH whose telephone number is (571)272-3908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00 am - 5:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tonia L.M. Dollinger can be reached on 571-272-4170. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,126 Page 6

Art Unit: 2443

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alina N Boutah/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2443